

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE & FISHERIES



**OFFICE OF FISHERIES
INLAND FISHERIES SECTION**

PART VI -B

WATERBODY MANAGEMENT PLAN SERIES

KINCAID LAKE

**WATERBODY EVALUATION &
RECOMMENDATIONS**

CHRONOLOGY

DOCUMENT SCHEDULED TO BE UPDATED ANNUALLY

March 2014 – completed by

Richard Moses, Biologist Manager, District 3
Shelby Richard, Biologist II, District 3

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WATERBODY EVALUATION.....	4
STRATEGY STATEMENT	4
<i>Recreational.....</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Commercial</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Species of Special Concern.....</i>	<i>4</i>
EXISTING HARVEST REGULATIONS	4
<i>Recreational.....</i>	<i>4</i>
SPECIES EVALUATION	4
<i>Recreational.....</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Species of Special Concern.....</i>	<i>12</i>
HABITAT EVALUATION	12
<i>Substrate</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Artificial Structure</i>	<i>13</i>
CONDITION IMBALANCE / PROBLEM	13
CORRECTIVE ACTION NEEDED	13
RECOMMENDATIONS	14

WATERBODY EVALUATION

STRATEGY STATEMENT

Recreational

Sportfish species, primarily largemouth bass, are managed to provide a sustainable population while providing anglers the opportunity to catch or harvest adequate numbers of fish to maintain angler interest and efforts.

Commercial

Kincaid Lake has relatively infertile water that is not conducive to the production of commercial fish species. A commercial fisheries management strategy is not used.

Species of Special Concern

No threatened or endangered fish species are known to inhabit this waterbody.

EXISTING HARVEST REGULATIONS

Recreational

Statewide regulations for all fish species, the recreational fishing regulations may be viewed at the link below:

<http://www.wlf.louisiana.gov/fishing/regulations>

Commercial

The commercial fishing regulations may be viewed at the link below:

<http://www.wlf.louisiana.gov/fishing/regulations>

Rapides Parish Ordinance Article I, Section 19.5 -1. Rules and Regulations for Recreational Areas: Part B (4) b3. – prohibits the use of fishing nets, seines, slat traps or similar devices. The complete Rapides Parish Ordinance can be viewed at the following link. This regulation is not a state law thus it cannot be enforced by the LDWF enforcement division personnel. It is enforced by the authority of the local Rapides Parish Sheriff's Office.

<http://library.municode.com/index.aspx?clientId=10429>

SPECIES EVALUATION

Recreational

Largemouth bass (LMB) populations are targeted for assessment because they are a species indicative of the overall health of the fish population due to their high position in the food chain. Electrofishing is the most efficient sampling method for collecting largemouth bass to evaluate abundance and size distribution, with the exception of large bass. Gill net sampling is generally the preferred method to determine the status of large bass and other large fish species.

Largemouth Bass

Relative abundance, length distribution and size structure indices-

Electrofishing has been used to collect largemouth bass population data in Kincaid Lake since 1994. Springtime electrofishing results are used as an indicator of largemouth bass relative abundance. Total catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) since 1994 is shown in Figure 1. Sampling was conducted in the spring and fall on a bi-annual basis from 1998 through 2009. Data presented in Figure 2 indicates trends in catch per unit of sampling effort for all largemouth bass size groups are varied. However, the overall trend from 2000 through 2009 indicated an increase in LMB abundance. The increase was likely due to a fall/winter drawdown in 2000/2001. This was the first drawdown since the lake was created in 1972. The drawdown may have improved the predator/prey balance, improved spawning substrate, and increased available nutrients.




Figure 1. The total CPUE (\pm SE) for largemouth bass on Kincaid Lake, Louisiana, spring electrofishing results from 1994 – 2009. Error bars represent standard error of total CPUE.

Proportional stock density (PSD) and relative stock density (RSD) are indices used to numerically describe length-frequency data. Proportional stock density compares the number of fish of quality size [greater than 12 inches total length (TL) for largemouth bass] to the number of bass of stock size (8 inches TL). PSD is expressed as a percent. A fish population with a high PSD consists mainly of larger individuals, whereas a population with a low PSD consists mainly of smaller fish.




Figure 2. The mean CPUE (\pm SE) for stock-, quality-, and preferred-size classes of largemouth bass on Kincaid Lake, Louisiana for spring season from 1994 – 2009.

For example, Figure 3, indicates a PSD of 64 for 2009. This value indicates that 64% of the stock-size bass (fish over 8 inches) in the sample was at least 12 inches or longer. Generally PSD's between 40 and 60 are considered good for central Louisiana lakes.

$$\text{PSD} = \frac{\text{Number of bass } > 12 \text{ inches}}{\text{Number of bass } > 8 \text{ inches}} \times 100$$

Relative stock density (RSD) is the proportion of largemouth bass in a stock (fish over 8 inches) that are 15 inches or longer.

$$\text{RSD} = \frac{\text{Number of bass } > 15 \text{ inches}}{\text{Number of bass } > 8 \text{ inches}} \times 100$$

Trends in largemouth bass structural indices indicate PSD and RSD values have remained relatively stable from 2000 through 2009. This may be expected in upland reservoirs with relatively infertile water and stable habitat.




Figure 3. The size structure indices (PSD and RSD-p) for largemouth bass collected from Kincaid Lake, Louisiana for spring electrofishing samples from 1994 – 2009.

The most recent length distribution data for largemouth bass collected during spring and fall electrofishing in 2009 is presented in Figure 4. Bass ranged from 4 inches TL to 21 inches TL with the most abundant groups centered on two peaks: 6 to 7 inches TL. Based on previous growth rates, bass in the 4 – 7 inch groups are typically young-of-the-year (YOY) and age 1+ recruits. The numbers of young bass in the sample indicate that adequate reproduction is occurring in Kincaid Lake.




Figure 4. The length distribution for largemouth bass in Kincaid Lake, Louisiana from electrofishing sampling results for spring and fall of 2009. N = 304.

Largemouth bass age and growth

Age and growth data were collected from 31 LMB in the fall of 1990. Additional age and growth data were collected in 2008. The results of these samples are listed in Figure 5. Growth rates for 1+ and 2+ year old bass were below the state average but growth rates reached the state average by age 3+. However, due to the small sample sizes additional age and growth data is needed.




Figure 5. Average length at age of capture for largemouth bass in Kincaid Lake, Louisiana 1990 (n = 31) and 2008 (n=54).

Forage

Forage availability is measured through two methods. These include summertime shoreline sampling with haul seines and fall electrofishing. Shoreline seining results can be found in Figures 6 and 7. The two major fish groups represented in the forage samples were sunfishes and silversides. Forage availability is also measured indirectly through measurement of largemouth bass body condition or relative weight. Relative weight (Wr) is the ratio of a fish's weight to the weight of a "standard" fish of the same length. The index is calculated by dividing the weight of a fish by the standard weight for its length and multiplying the quotient by 100. Largemouth bass relative weights below 80 may indicate a potential problem with forage availability. The relative weights of LMB collected from Kincaid Lake have been relatively stable for all size classes since 1995. Relative weight sampling results (Figure 8) indicates that Kincaid Lake relative weights for largemouth bass are within an acceptable range.




Figure 6. CPUE (average number per seine haul) of fish from shoreline seining for Kincaid Lake, LA, for 1998, 1999, and 2001.




Figure 7. Percent frequency of occurrence by number for forage species found in Kincaid Lake, Louisiana from forage electrofishing results for 1995.




Figure 8. Relative weights for stock-, quality-, and preferred-size classes of largemouth bass collected during fall electrofishing for Kincaid Lake, Louisiana from 1995 – 2009.

Largemouth bass genetics

Florida largemouth bass (FLMB) stockings have achieved limited success in Kincaid Lake. Stockings were conducted in 2007, 2008 and 2013. Genetic analysis was conducted on largemouth bass samples in 2006, 2008 and 2009. See Table 1 for the genetic testing results.

Table 1. LMB genetic testing results for Kincaid Lake, Louisiana, 2006, 2008 and 2009.

Year	% Northern	% Florida	% Hybrids	Total FLMB Influence %
2006	83 (N=34)	0	17 (N=7)	17
2008	95 (N=77)	0	5 (N=4)	5
2009	92 (N=184)	0	8 (N=16)	8

Crappie

Kincaid Lake habitat is suitable to the production of black crappie. A review of sampling results back to 1975 found no record of white crappie in Kincaid Lake. Historical biomass (rotenone) sampling results from 1975 through 1987 indicated low crappie abundance. The standing crop estimates averaged 2.9 pounds of black crappie per acre in Kincaid Lake (Figure 9). Additional sampling for crappie was conducted with lead nets in 2009 and 2010. The results indicated a crappie population similar to other clear, infertile central Louisiana lakes. Results are found in Figure 10.




Figure 9. The standing crop (rotenone) estimates for black crappie in Kincaid Lake, Louisiana from 1975 through 1987.




Figure 10. Black crappie length distributions from lead net sampling results in Kincaid Lake, Louisiana for 2009 and 2010.

Commercial

Large rough fish species that normally comprise a commercial fishery are not present and a viable commercial fishery does not exist in Kincaid Lake. Gill net sampling was conducted in 2001, 2005 and 2008. Channel catfish were the only commercial species collected. Channel catfish abundance was indicated to be low. Gill nets results are found in Figure 11.




Figure 11. Total CPUE (in pounds per net night) by species by year for Kincaid Lake, Louisiana, collected with standardized gill nets in 2001, 2005 and 2008.

Species of Special Concern

No threatened or endangered fish species are known to inhabit this waterbody.

HABITAT EVALUATION

Aquatic Vegetation

Aquatic plant coverage and diversity has been consistently favorable in Kincaid Lake since 2001. The lake has less than 5 % coverage of native submergent vegetation. Vegetation coverage is limited to shallow water areas and the shoreline. Submergent species include fanwort (*Cabomba caroliniana*), southern naiad (*Najas guadalupensis*), and chara (*Chara sp.*). A small amount of hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillata*) was observed during the July 2013 vegetation survey. It was located in shallow water along the shoreline on the extreme southern end of the lake and covered less than 1 acre. Combined coverage for all submergent species is less than 100 acres. Emergent vegetation occurs in a narrow fringe along the shoreline and in the extreme back portions of coves. Emergent vegetation includes white water lily (*Nymphaea odorata*), alligator weed (*Alternanthera philoxeroides*), and water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*). Common salvinia (*Salvinia minima*) was observed for the first time in the 2013 vegetation survey. Total coverage was less than 3 acres.

Vegetation coverage for 2014 is expected to be similar to the estimates above.

Substrate

Kincaid Lake receives little sedimentation from its watershed and turbidity is minimal. The majority of the watershed lies within the Kisatchie National Forest. There is no row crop agriculture in the watershed. The lake bottom substrate consists primarily of coarse and medium grain sands which provide excellent spawning areas for nesting fish.

Artificial Structure

LDWF has not placed artificial structure in Kincaid Lake. In 2010, the United States Forest Service initiated a program to improve fish habitats by submerging Christmas trees in the lake. The only additional manmade structures found in the lake consist of boat docks and piers.

CONDITION IMBALANCE / PROBLEM

Kincaid Lake sufficiently provides watershed protection, agriculture irrigation, and recreational opportunities. The impoundment is infertile, but it does support a sustainable fish population to the level that maintains recreational angler interest and efforts. Fortunately, Kincaid Lake is not impaired by many of the problems experienced on other Louisiana impoundments.

CORRECTIVE ACTION NEEDED

No corrective action is warranted for Kincaid Lake at this time.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Continue existing harvest regulations until LDWF sampling results indicate that change is appropriate and necessary from a biological perspective or until such time as a change in management strategy is indicated by the collective opinion of Kincaid Lake anglers.
2. Continue LDWF standardized fisheries sampling.
3. LDWF spray crews will spray emergent vegetation in accordance with the LDWF Aquatic Herbicide Recommendations as needed. A mixture of diquat (0.25 gal/acre) and glyphosate with Aqua King Plus (0.25 gal/acre) and Thoroughbred (12 oz/acre) surfactants may be applied to common salvinia infestations from April 1 – October 31. Outside of that time frame it will be treated with diquat (0.75 gal/acre) and a non-ionic surfactant. Alligator weed will be controlled with Imazapyr (0.5 gal/acre) in undeveloped areas and with Clearcast (0.5 gal/acre) near houses and developed shorelines. Turbulence surfactant (0.25 gal/acre) will be used with these two herbicides to increase efficacy.